

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/646,213	08/22/2003	Todor Sheljaskow	2003P09221US 9032		
7	590 05/10/2005		EXAMINER		
Siemens Corporation			BUDD, MARK OSBORNE		
Intellectual Property Department 170 Wood Avenue South Iselin, NJ 08830			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2834		
			DATE MAILED: 05/10/2005	DATE MAILED: 05/10/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)  Office Act	ion Summary Par	t of Paper No./Mail Date 20050421			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	e			
Attachment(s)					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
8) Claim(s) 1-9 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.					
Disposition of Claims					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This	action is non-final.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
Status					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Period for Reply					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Mark Budd	2834			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	10/646,213	SHELJASKOW, TODOR			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			

Application/Control Number: 10/646,213

Art Unit: 2834

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Page 2

I. Claims 1-4 and 9, drawn to a method of manufacturing a piezoelectric

transducer, classified in class 29, subclass 25.35.

II. Claims 5-8, drawn to a piezoelectric transducer, classified in class 310,

Inventions Group I and Group II are related as process of making and product

subclass 334.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the transducer structure of group II can

be made by methods other than those of Group I, e.g. the array could be formed by a

molding process rather than a cutting process.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Budd/ds

04/28/05

PRIMARY EXAMINED